

United States Patent and Trademark Office

T

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,992	08/01/2003	Jeffrey R. Horacek	ST8631US	8982
22203 75	590 07/10/2006		EXAMINER	
KUSNER & JAFFE			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
-	LACE SUITE 310	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0101	MILLS ROAD EIGHTS, OH 44143		1744	THE ENTROPE
11101101110		·		06
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/632,992	HORACEK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Leigh McKane	1744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed ped patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	April 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims ′						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	n.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	oor					
	•		acted to by the Evaminer				
اکارہ:	I0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	<u> </u>	• •	1/4)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		511100710110110111111111111111111111111	•			
	•						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)ı	,	-to be be on received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	-					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea		sceived in this National Stage				
· * <u>c</u>	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis		pooiyod				
	so the attached detailed Office action for a lis	stor the certified copies flot re	;ceiveu.				
Attachmen	i(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Mail Date Domal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/632,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 6, 10, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Fricker et al. (US 6,325,968).

Fricker et al. teaches a cylindrical container for holding powdered reagents that interact

with water to form an anti-microbial fluid. The container includes a rigid container 16 having a

fluid inlet connectable to a source of water ("Water Fill") and a fluid outlet in fluid

communication with items to be microbially deactivated. See Figure 3. A continuous fluid

passage is defined through the container 16. A plurality of spaced-apart barrier elements 70,58

(Figure 4) are disposed within the fluid passage when cartridge C is located in the container 16.

The elements together define two isolated compartments within the container 16 and are

impervious to powdered reagents but permeable to chemical reagents dissolved in a liquid. See

col.6, lines 1-4 and 14-18. The compartments each contain a reagent, such as acetylsalicylic

acid, which reacts with water to form an antimicrobial (col.6, line 65 to col.7, line 2). A plate 82

is disposed in the fluid passage above the first reagent and has a plurality of spaced-apart

apertures formed therethrough. See col.6, lines 48-54 and Figure 4. Note that the combination

of the annular ring and the pair of x-shaped cross members forms a plate having 4 apertures.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fricker et al. in view of Livingston et al. (US 5,759,501).

With respect to claims 1, 6-11, 13, and 14, Fricker et al. teaches a cylindrical container for holding powdered reagents that interact with water to form an anti-microbial fluid. The container includes a rigid container C having a fluid inlet (top of container) for water and a fluid outlet (bottom of container) in fluid communication with items to be microbially deactivated.

See Figure 3. A continuous fluid passage is defined through the container C. A plurality of spaced-apart polymeric barrier elements 70,58 (Figure 4) are disposed within the fluid passage. They may be formed from porous polymeric materials such as polyethylene (col.6, lines 14-22). The elements together define two isolated compartments within the container C and are impervious to powdered reagents but permeable to chemical reagents dissolved in a liquid. See col.6, lines 1-4 and 14-18. The barrier elements are size specific (col.10, lines 50-64). The compartments each contain a reagent, such as acetylsalicylic acid, which reacts with water to form an antimicrobial (col.6, line 65 to col.7, line 2). A plate 82 is disposed in the fluid passage above the first reagent and has a plurality of spaced-apart apertures formed therethrough. See col.6, lines 48-54 and Figure 4. Note that the combination of the annular ring and the pair of x-

Application/Control Number: 10/632,992

Art Unit: 1744

shaped cross members forms a plate having 4 apertures. The container is placed within an apparatus 12 for microbially deactivating instruments wherein the apparatus includes a circulation system, a chamber 14 for holding instruments, and a cavity 16 for receiving the container C. See Figure 2. Fricker et al. does not disclose connecting the fluid inlet to a source of water.

Livingston et al. discloses that it was known in the art at the time of the invention to connect the fluid inlet 27 of a reagent dispenser 11 to a source of water 55. See Figure 1. As a connection between the fluid inlet and the water source prevents water from exiting that hasn't been contact with the reagent, it would have been obvious to connect the inlet of Fricker et al. with the water source.

As to claim 2, Fricker et al. teaches that the container C is formed of a polymeric material. See col.5, lines 57-57. Although it is not disclosed if container C is molded, it is taught to form portion 70 by molding (col.6, lines 5-9). It is deemed obvious to form the container C using a conventional method, such as molding.

With respect to claim 12, teaches using a microbial filter 190 to filter particles of 2μ and above or alternatively, that one of the compartments of the container C can function as the microbial filter. See col.10, lines 30-32.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fricker et al. in view of Livingston et al., as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Siegel et al. (US 5,662,886).

The above combination fails to teach a removable moisture barrier covering the inlet and outlet. However, Siegel et al. discloses a similar apparatus wherein the inlet is covered with a

removable moisture barrier (col.5, lines 15-17) to prevent powdered reagent loss. As both of the inlet and outlet of Fricker et al. would be susceptible to moisture entry and powder loss and as the presence of moisture in the container would have affected the reagents therein, it would have been obvious to provide the impermeable seal of Siegel et al. over both of the inlet and outlet of the container C of Fricker et al..

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fricker et al. in view of Livingston et al. and Siegel et al., as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Davis (US 6,158,580).

The combination does not teach a removable desiccant material within the container.

Davis discloses a container for detergent wherein a removable desiccant material 140 is included within the container to remove moisture that would affect the detergent material within the container. See col.1, lines 19-29 and 46-64. As Fricker et al. teaches that the powdered reagent is reactive with water, it would have been obvious to include the desiccant material of Davis in the container in order to prevent water reaction before use. Moreover, it is deemed obvious to position the desiccant material anywhere in the container where it would have been easily removable, such as the fluid outlet.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 21 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. As set forth in the rejection above, in Fricker et al., the combination of the annular ring and the pair of x-shaped cross members forms a plate 82 having 4 apertures. Thus, Fricker et al.

Art Unit: 1744

meets the newly added claim limitation.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (5:30 am-3:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,992 Page 7

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leigh≬ McKane

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

elm 3 July 2006